arXiv:0711.2652v1 [math.DG] 16 Nov 2007

An information-theoretic analog of a result of Perelman

Brockway McMillan
Sedgwick Maine, USA (e-mail: bmcmlln@hughes.net)

February 2, 2008

Abstract

Each compact manifold $\mathbf{M}$ of finite dimension k is differentiable and supports an intrinsic probability measure. There then exists a measurable transformation of $\mathbf{M}$ to the k-dimensional "surface" of the $(k+1)-$dimensional ball.

1 Manifolds

1.1 Topologies, coordinates, and measures

Let k be a positive integer. By definition, a given compact topological space $\mathbf{M}$ is a *manifold of k dimensions*.if every point $p \in \mathbf{M}$ has a neighborhood that is topologically equivalent to a Euclidean open sphere, of k dimensions, centered at p. Call such a neighborhood a *cell centered at p*, .or, less formally, a *cell*.

To a given cell C centered at p then corresponds a topological transformation T, specific to C, that transforms a Euclidean k-sphere, and therefore also transfers the coordinate axes defined therein, to a topological space $C \subset \mathbf{M}$.

On the Euclidean space $T^{-1}C$ there exists a σ-algebra $\mathcal{F}_E$ of measurable sets– the smallest σ-algebra that contains every open set. Because T takes open sets to open sets $T\mathcal{F}_E$ is also a σ-algebra on C. Then T is what is often called a *measurable transformation*. It transfers the Lebesgue measure $\lambda(\cdot)$ defined on $T^{-1}C$ to a measure $\mu(\cdot) = \lambda(T^{-1}\cdot)$ on C.

As the image of a Euclidean sphere, a cell centered at p can be given a quasi-Cartesian coordinate frame, specific to that cell, with origin at p and identified by its (curviliear) coordinates, say $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k$.

$\mathbf{M}$ is compact and is covered by finitely many cells. Such a covering is a *proper covering.*

Let C_1, C_2, be distinct cells of a given proper covering, endowed respectively with coordinate frames $\{x_r\}$, $\{y_s\}$**.** Let p be a point in $C_1 \cap C_2$. Because $\mathbf{M}$ is a manifold, the coordinates $\{x_r\}$ of p are already constrained to be continuous

functions of the coordinates $\{y_s\}$ of p, .and *vice versa.* The rest of the paper hinges on the existence of a much stronger constraint.

Theorem 1 *The compact manifold* $\mathbf{M}$ *above is* differentiable *in the sense that the* $\{x_r\}$ *are differentiable functions of the* $\{y_s\}$*, and vice versa.*

A proof appears in the next subsection.

The compactness of $\mathbf{M}$ implies that any covering of $\mathbf{M}$ by cells contains a subcovering by finitely many cells. Such a subcovering, by definition, is a *proper covering.*

A point in $\mathbf{M}$ with coordinates $\{x_r\}$ is often called a *vector,* and $\mathbf{M}$ then treated as a linear space. That vector space is a *Riemannian manifold.* On it exists its tensor calculus, a powerful tool, somewhat complex. The arguments here do not require a linear structure on $\mathbf{M}$ and can then fortunately ignore the tensor calculus.

1.2 The intrinsic measure of volume

Let $C \in \mathbf{M}$ be a cell centered at a point p. C is the image under a topological transformation of an open Euclidean sphere. C is what is often called a *measurable space*,– it supports a σ-algebra $\mathcal{F}_C$ of measurable sets,– the smallest σ-algebra that contains every open set. On that σ-algebra there exists a well-defined measure– a "local" volume defined on a cell of a k-dimensional differentiable manifold**.** In terms of the local coordinates $\{x_r\}$ that volume is defined by its local *density* $dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_k$.

This volume element is a function of position in the cell on which it is defined. The measure, say $\mu(\sigma)$, of a set $\sigma \in \mathcal{F}_C$ is the integral over σ of that density function. Furthermore, at that same point, but in an overlapping cell, there is a volume element, say $dy_1 dy_2 \cdots dy_k$. These two differential volume elements are *equal*,– they are in fact the volume element of the (Euclidean) tangent space to $\mathbf{M}$ at that point. They are simply transferred from the Euclidean pre-image of the cell at issue. They therefore do not vanish at any point of $\mathbf{M}$. It follows that there exists on the whole of $\mathbf{M}$ a σ-algebra of measurable subsets with a measure $\mu(\cdot)$ defined thereon. That σ-algebra is the smallest σ-algebra that contains every open set. (Being an algebra, it then also contains every closed set.) Since every proper covering is made up of finitely many cells, each of finite volume, $\mu(\mathbf{M}) < \infty$. One may then choose to normalize it to the value $\mu(\mathbf{M}) = \mathbf{1}$ and call μ a probability.

Whether or not normalized, the μ defined by this construction is an *intrinsic measure* on $\mathbf{M}$, or *intrinsic probability* if normalized.

1.2.1 Summary: R-measures

By definition an $\mathbf{R}$-measure on $\mathbf{M}$ is a measure μ that enjoys the following properties**:**

- $0 < \mu(\mathbf{M}) < \infty$.
- μ is *smooth* in that each point of $\mathbf{M}$ is a set of measure zero.
- If A is a non-empty open subset of $\mathbf{M}$ then $\mu(A) > 0$.
- It follows that the discrete subsets of $\mathbf{M}$ constitute the totality of null sets of μ.
- It then further follows that any two $\mathbf{R}$-measures on $\mathbf{M}$ are *compatible* in that each is absolutely continuous with respect to the other.

Theorem 2 *The intrinsic measure on a given differentiable k-manifold* $\mathbf{M}$ *is a topological invariant and is an* $\boldsymbol{R}$*-measure.*
Proof. *First address invariance. Let* T *be a continuous transformation from* $\mathbf{M}$ *onto* $\mathbf{M}' = T\mathbf{M}$. T *maps open sets to open sets and is therefore also a measurable transformation. If* μ *is a measure on* $\mathbf{M}$ *then* $\mu\prime(A) = \mu(T^{-1}A)$ *is a measure on* $\mathbf{M}'$. *It is then easy to verify that all five bullets above apply to* $\mathbf{M}'$ ■

2 Entropy

Consider a *finite* partition of the space $\mathbf{M}$ into pairwise-disjoint measurable sets. Say π is one such partition:

$$\pi : \ \mathbf{M} = \oplus_{k=1}^{card(\pi)} C_k. \tag{1}$$

With this partition Claude Shannon [CS] associates the quantity

$$H(\pi) = \frac{1}{card(\pi)} \sum_{C \in \pi} \mu(C) \log \frac{1}{\mu(C)}. \tag{2}$$

He then considers a refining sequence of partition $\pi_1 \succ \pi_2 \succ \cdots$ and shows that the quantities $H(\pi_n)$ converge in probability to a limit $H(\mathbf{M})$ that is independent of the chosen sequence. He calls $H(\mathbf{M})$ the *entropy* of the measure μ. It was shown in [Mc1] that these $H(\pi_n)$ also converge in $\pounds^1$ mean. Stronger convergence theorems were soon proved by others. By about 1980, with the work of Kolmogorov and colleagues, and finally of D.S. Ornstein, Shannon's full theory of communication became a closed book .That theory includes much more than is reported on here; specifically it also presents what is usually known as Shannon's Coding Theorem.

2.0.2 Nomenclature

During development of his theory, Shannon was reluctant to use the term "entropy". At the urging of colleagues, he finally relented. As he feared, the term "entropy" spawned much nonsense. At a meeting of the American Physical Society in 1950 one member of the large audience announced that "Claude Shannon has proved that a heat engine can do mathematical logic." (I was there. I heard it. I recognized the speaker but fortunately no longer recall his name.)

2.1 Simple properties

(**1**) Let $\mathbf{M}_1, \mathbf{M}_2$, be distinct instances of the generic compact differentiable manifold $\mathbf{M}$, not necessarily of the same dimension. It follows that $\mathbf{M}_1 \otimes \mathbf{M}_2$ is also an instance. By calculation, directly from (2), the entropy of their cartesian product is

$$H(\mathbf{M}_1 \otimes \mathbf{M}_2) = H(\mathbf{M}_1) + H(\mathbf{M}_2). \tag{3}$$

(**2**) Return to the generic compact and differentiable manifold $\mathbf{M}$. Let μ be an $\mathbf{R}$-measure on $\mathbf{M}$ and let π be the finite partition (1). Define

$$\Lambda(\mu, \pi) = \textstyle\sum_{C \in \pi} \mu(C) \log \dfrac{1}{\mu(C)} - \mu(\mathbf{M}) \log \dfrac{1}{\mu(\mathbf{M})}. \tag{4}$$

Let $\alpha > 0$. One calculates that $\Lambda(\alpha\mu, \pi) = \alpha\Lambda(\mu, \pi)$. Consequently, if α, β, are non-negative numbers and $\mu_1,\ \mu_2$, are $\mathbf{R}$-measures on $\mathbf{M}$ then

$$\Lambda(\alpha\mu_1 + \beta\mu_2, \pi) = \alpha\Lambda(\mu_1, \pi) + \beta\Lambda(\mu_2, \pi), \tag{5}$$

the property of strict convexity. (See ¶4 later.)

Let $\pi_1 \succ \pi_2 \succ \cdots$ be a refining sequence of finite partition of $\mathbf{M}$ into measurable sets. By Shannon's theorem, if μ is a probability measure on $\mathbf{M}$ then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} (card(\pi_n))^{-1} \Lambda(\mu, \pi_n) \ = H(\mu).$$

It follows that

Theorem 3 *(**1**) $H(\mu)$, as a function of probability measures μ defined on $\mathbf{M}$, is strictly convex.*
*(**2**) There exists a unique probability measure μ_∞ on $\mathbf{M}$ that maximizes $H(\cdot)$. It is characterized by the property that for all measurable subsets A, B, in $\mathbf{M}$*

$$\mu_\infty(A \cap B) = \mu_\infty(A) \cdot \mu_\infty(B). \tag{6}$$

*(**3**) Every probability measure μ on $\mathbf{M}$ is compatible with μ_∞, and in particular is absolutely continuous with respect to μ_∞.*

Proof. (**1**) simply repeats (5). The proof of (**2**) involves an excursion into the theory of point processes on a space such as $\mathbf{M}$, undertaken in the next section.

■

3 The Poisson Process on M

A *point process* on $\mathbf{M}$ is a random process of which the generic random variable γ is a discrete subset of $\mathbf{M}$; in the present case, that discreteness implies that a random set is also a finite subset of $\mathbf{M}$. It is a theorem of Thomas Kurtz [TK] that any point process is characterized by its *avoidance function*

$$\mathbf{E}(A) = \Pr ob\{\gamma \mid A \cap \gamma = \emptyset\} = \Pr ob\{card(A \cap \gamma) = 0\}.$$

. All that one needs to know here is that if μ is a measure on $\mathbf{M}$ then the function $\mathbf{E}(A) = e^{-\mu(A)}$ is a valid avoidance function. It is the avoidance function of a point process that is the counterpart on $\mathbf{M}$ of the Poisson process on the real line. This latter is the *discrete homogeneous chaos* of Norbert Wiener [NW]. On any space that is locally compact and metrizable, the Poisson process is that unique process for which, for each two measurable sets A, B, the random sets $A \cap \gamma,\ B \cap \gamma$, are statistically independent whenever $A \cap B = \emptyset$. Conclusions (**2**) and (**3**) above are explicit in each of Lemma 43 and Theorem 48 of [Mc2] ■

4 Perelman's result, discussion

Perelman shows that the Ricci flow carries a given compact k-manifold $\mathbf{M}$ to a terminal k-manifold that has a maximum entropy. The arguments above demonstrate a different way to make a similar association. In each case the entropy involved is that of Shannon and of statistical mechanics. The maxentropic manifold in each is a featureless manifold that is topologically the k-dimensional "surface" of a $(k+1)-$dimensional ball**.**

Subsection 2.1 mentions convexity, a matter of no consequence to Theorem 3 above. There is a literally monstrous *gedankenexperimente,* attributed to Einstein, showing that some simple thermodynamic properties, combined with the property of convexity, make the entropy $H(\cdot)$ a unique functional. In [JvN], von Neumann describes Einstein's argument,– with some evidence of distaste,– without, to my reading, fully closing the issue of uniqueness. Perelman's Ricci flow clinches that latter issue. Theorem 3 above does also, but, as an existence theorem, lacks the inevitable force of Perelman's constructive Ricci flow**.**

4.1 Credits

I thank Prof. Aubert Daigneault for corrections, suggestions, and patience**.** Thanks also are due Aaron F. McMillan for a review of modern differential geometry.

4.2 Bibliography

[CES] Shannon, Claude E. *A mathematical theory of communication*, Bell System Technical Journal, **v**.27, pp 379-423, pp 623-656, July-October 1948.

[GP] Grisha Perelman *The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications,* **Arxiv (**Math/**DG)**
[JvN] John von Neumann, *Quantenmechanik,* Dover NY, 1945. (reprinted from Springer, pre-1940.)
[Mc1] Brockway McMillan, *The basic theorems of information theory,* Annals of Mathematical Statistics, V 24 pp 196-219, June 1953.
[Mc2] $\cdots$, *A taxonomy for random sets,* International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, **v 34**, No.3, *pp* 347-396.

Addendum (for the author's convenience during the submission process.)

Grisha Perelman <perelman@math.sunysb.edu>